

REMARKS

Claims 1 through 40 are currently pending in the application.

Claims 21 through 40 being newly added with this amendment.

This amendment is in response to the Office Action of August 11, 2004.

Double Patenting Rejection Based on U.S. Patent 6,143,589

Claims 1 through 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 10 of U.S. Patent 6,143,589. In order to avoid further expenses and time delay, Applicants elect to expedite the prosecution of the present application by filing a terminal disclaimer to obviate the double patenting rejections in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321 (b) and (c). Applicants' filing of the terminal disclaimer should not be construed as acquiescence of the Examiner's double patenting or obviousness-type double patenting rejection. Attached is the terminal disclaimer and accompanying fee.

Applicants submit that claims 1 through 40 are clearly allowable.

Applicants request the allowance of claims 1 through 40 and the case passed for issue.

Respectfully submitted,



James R. Duzan
Registration No. 28,393
Attorney for Applicants
TRASKBRITT
P.O. Box 2550
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550
Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: November 10, 2004
JRD/dlm:dlh
Document in ProLaw